

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Predictors of return to work with and without restrictions in public workers

Adriano Dias¹, João Marcos Bernardes¹, Sandro Augusto Servilha Coquemala², Juan Gómez-Salgado^{3,4}*, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos^{4,5}

1 Public Health Graduate Program, Botucatu Medical School, Univ Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Sao Paulo, Brazil, **2** Technical Assistant, Botucatu Medical School, Univ Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Sao Paulo, Brazil, **3** Department of Nursing, University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain, **4** Safety and Health Postgraduate Program, Universidad Espíritu Santo, Samborondón (Guayaquil), Ecuador, **5** Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Huelva, Huelva, Spain

☞ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* jgsalgad@gmail.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Dias A, Bernardes JM, Coquemala SAS, Gómez-Salgado J, Ruiz-Frutos C (2019) Predictors of return to work with and without restrictions in public workers. PLoS ONE 14(1): e0210392. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392>

Editor: Khin Thet Wai, Ministry of Health and Sports, MYANMAR

Received: July 2, 2018

Accepted: December 21, 2018

Published: January 17, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Dias et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The aggregated data is available within the paper. However, in accordance with the current regulations of the State University of São Paulo (UNESP) and the national regulations of Brazil, the raw data cannot be deposited by the authors for public use. Resolution No. 196/96 of the National Health Council of Brazil establishes the importance of the main investigator, through an informed consent, guaranteeing the secrecy and privacy of the participants during all research phases; and, in this case, the Ethics Committee holds the duty to maintain the confidentiality of all the data obtained

Abstract

Background

Sick leaves are important events for both the worker and the employer. Many factors are related with sick leaves and depending on the factors the worker could perform a successful return to work. In this sense, the objective of this study is to identify those factors associated with return to work after sick leaves in a group of public workers in Brazil.

Methods

A case-control study of return to work after sick leaves in a university campus from 2010 to 2015. Logistic regression models were adjusted for two different response variables: return to work with and without restrictions. A digital database was created and completed with data from manual sources.

Results

A computerised database has been created, based on manual records, which has allowed us to identify labour and non-labour factors associated with the return to work after a sick leave and the possible functional readaptation, with or without restrictions, in public workers. Age at the beginning of the process, number of sick leaves, those of more than 16 days, average duration (total time of sick leaves / number of medical records), and mid-level healthcare positions were associated with return to work without restrictions. In the model of return to work with restrictions, the age of hiring by the university, the number of sick leaves, those of more than 16 days, and mid-level healthcare positions, both rural work and operational positions, were associated to the response variable.

Conclusions

This study has allowed us to identify the factors associated with the return to work after a period of sick leave in a large group of public workers. However, more research is needed

in the execution of the task and to file the complete protocol. The authorization to access, analyze and keep the research data, granted by the general health administration of the University, was exclusively attributed to the main researcher (Prof. Adriano Dias). Data requests may be directed to Dr. Dias at dias.adriano@unesp.br. Although the authors cannot make their study's data publicly available at the time of publication, all authors commit to make the data underlying the findings described in this study fully available without restriction to those who request the data, in compliance with the PLOS Data Availability policy. For data sets involving personally identifiable information or other sensitive data, data sharing is contingent on the data being handled appropriately by the data requester and in accordance with all applicable local requirements.

Funding: Dr. Adriano Dias received a fund for research of the São Paulo Research Foundation (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo - FAPESP), process 2016-23096-1 (<http://internet.aquila.fapesp.br/agilis/>). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

on the mental disorders that cause sick leaves, their evaluation and the handling of these situations.

Introduction

Sick leaves are important events from both the point of view of the worker and that of the employer, generating economic, social, and health burden [1]. Among the immediate effects of absenteeism we find the decrease of the working capacity and the loss of productivity [2–4] and, depending on the severity of the illness or its chronicity, the worker can return to work (RTW) with or without restrictions. Long-term sickness absence is one of the main risk factors for definitive removal from the labour market [5]. Studies on absenteeism in the public health system have shown a higher frequency in female workers between 30 and 45 years old, alternating the predominant disease in accordance with the activities carried out and the professionals involved [3,4,6,7]. On the other hand, changes in the working environment are determinants of sick leaves in all workers [8] to the extent that, at the present time, it is difficult to differentiate the sick leaves simply because of the type of employment contract [9]. In Brazil, about four million and five hundred thousand social security benefits were granted in 2015, of which nearly two million were due to work-related diseases or accidents. These social security benefits could be temporary (for work accidents or diseases—occupational or not) and/or definitive (for disability retirement). In the state of São Paulo, between 2003 and 2006, almost two hundred thousand sick leaves were granted per year for statutory staff of all the institutions linked to the Secretaries of State [3].

Long-term sickness absence is usually composed by repeated periods of short-term sick leaves that could increase in duration, interspersing periods with and without work absences [5,10]. Depending on the sick leave's cause, the worker can return to work by performing activities different from those performed before the sick leave, even at different locations. Previous studies indicate that some factors are related to a successful RTW: age [11,12], sex [8], marital status [12], educational level [12,13], economic conditions [12], severity of the disease [11,14,15], onset of the treatment [11], duration of the illness and/or the sick leave [12], chronicity of the disease/multiplicity of sick leaves [13–17], level of commitment [14,16], duration and type of work [15], and motivational interventions towards the RTW [13,14,17,18].

The objective of this study was to quantify the factors associated with RTW, with and without restrictions among public workers. In addition to the scarce literature on some of RTW determinants such as mental illnesses [19], this type of approach can facilitate decision-making by anticipating the course of its evolution, thus minimising its negative effects for both the worker and the employer.

Material and methods

Design, field of study, population, and sample

This is a case-control study on return to work after sick leaves among all statutory workers of a University Campus located in inland São Paulo state who were absent from work due to an illness between the months of January 2010 and December 2015. We retrospectively studied data on all workers who were on sick leave during the before mentioned period.

Workers were divided into three groups, two cases groups and one control group: a) cases type 1 (non-restricted workers): those who returned to work with different functions from the ones they performed before the sick leave; b) cases type 2 (restricted workers): those who

returned to work in functions other than those performed before the sick leave, but with physical or functional limitations that prevented them from performing certain work activities; and c) controls: workers who returned to work in the same roles they had before the sick leave period.

An analysis of all medical reports from the institution's occupational medical service that examines all statutory workers who request a sick leave with a duration of two or more days was done to allocate the workers into one of the three groups. This service, that manages all sick leaves, is the only one that can grant or deny them. In addition, it is responsible for the medical boards that decide on RTW cases and it is composed by general practitioners and psychiatrists.

It should be highlighted that, during the period of data collection (which was done by the University's health technical section), the university campus was composed by four university units (agricultural sciences, human health, animal health and reproduction, and biological sciences), an administrative unit, and a university hospital.

Data collection

For the development of the study's database, information was extracted from two institutional databases: the Integrated Occupational Management Software (IOM), which identifies the socio-demographic characteristics and functional aspects of workers and stores physician's evaluation data, and the Healthcare Medical System (HMS), which records information about RTW cases and their characteristics. These databases are not integrated or built under the same computer architecture, neither programmed for research purposes. This forced us to evaluate each RTW case, registered on the HMS, on a one-by-one basis to match them with the physician's reports performed during the period, registered on the IOM.

Variables

The socio-demographic variables were: place of birth (São Paulo State or outside), sex, age at recruitment by the University and current age, and marital status (showed by two different variables). The functional characteristics were: type of work (13 categories), work unit, time since employment in the institution, and total time since employment. The characteristics of the sick leaves were: duration, number of sick leaves taken in the period, total duration of sick leaves (and the total time stratified), reason in accordance with the tenth edition of the international statistical classification of diseases, injuries and causes of death (International Classification of Diseases / ICD-10), and behaviour of repeated sick leaves (throughout the ICD chapters in repeated events). The RTW was described in terms of whether it took place, time until it happened and whether it implied restrictions or not (leading to two response variables, RTW with restrictions and RTW without restrictions), mean time (total time divided by number of sick leaves spells), and duration of the first spell. Also, the reasons for each sick leave spell were studied and, being multiple, they were defined as the first cause or the main one pointed out by the physician.

To this end, it was necessary to create data mining tools to discover the reasons for the sick leave in a qualitative verbatim base, in the same way as the variables related in the expert reports and those also described in the characteristics of sick leaves spells: type of injury, affected part, and presence of psychological symptoms.

Statistical analysis

The exploratory analysis of the data was carried out by means of simple and accumulated percentage distributions, measures of central tendency and dispersion (for discrete and

continuous variables, respectively). The comparisons between the groups were performed through chi-square and Z-tests when the variables were discrete, and through ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests, for continuous variables.

Predictive variables of RTW with and without restrictions were analysed in two steps by using multiple logistic regression models. In the first step, univariate logistic regression models were run for each of the potentially predictive variables. Variables that produced p -values ≤ 0.25 in the first step were entered the multiple models. These multiple logistic regression models were adjusted with stepwise insert criteria and $p < 0.05$ maintenance for the final adjustment [20]. All analyses were performed with the IBM/SPSS Statistics software, v. 20.0.

Ethical aspects and data availability

The Helsinki declaration has been taken into consideration.

The Department of Occupational Health, Safety of Workers and Environmental Sustainability (COSTSA) authorised the only manipulation of the data by the responsible investigator, through protocol 1.874.625 (of 19th December, 2016), after the evaluation and permission of the Ethics Committee of the Botucatu Medical School, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Each of the Health Technical Units involved in this study gave their authorisation for the use of the data, also required by the Ethics Committee, following the original regulations and guidelines of the COSTSA. The authorisation for the use of the worker's data is restricted to be manipulated only by the person responsible for the study and, if this rule is broken, they will not consent to do any analysis and subsequent publication.

These data are confidential and protected by legal restrictions for having medical data of workers. Once the data was received, the subjects were anonymised and their names were taken out from the database before sending the information to the researcher. As the data was received anonymised, the Ethics Committee released the research team from the application of the consent term.

The COSTSA is a body governed directly by the Rectorate of the Paulista State University/UNESP, who has not direct relationship with the Research Team that performed the epidemiological study. Therefore, neither the researcher nor the local Ethics Committee have intellectual property over the data, and COSTSA reserves the right to maintain the confidentiality thereof, except for the results of the analysis presented in the article, following the legislation itself and the institutional regulations. The responsible researcher does not have the authority to request authorisation to send the database to any third party.

In this sense, the data cannot be deposited in a public repository or assigned for public use. To support this communication, Resolution No. 196/96 of the National Health Council of Brazil for the approval of directives and research standards that involve human beings establishes in article IV.1. e) the importance of the main investigator, guaranteeing the secrecy and privacy of the participants during all research phases; and, in this case, the Ethics Committee holds the duty to maintain the confidentiality of all the data obtained in the execution of the task and to file the complete protocol.

On the other hand, regarding authors and data use consent, the COSTSA director signs, with the approval of the Research Ethics Committee, that these data are confidential and cannot be made available to the parties or repositories.

Results

A total of 965 workers and 5776 sick leaves of two days or more were included. There were 56 (5.8%), 195 (20.2%), and 714 (74%) workers without restrictions (WR), with restrictions (R) and control (C) groups, respectively.

Table 1. Sociodemographic and working characteristics of the study population distributed in three types according to sick leaves RTW between 2010 and 2015.

		C		WR		R		p-value*
		n (714)	%	n (56)	%	n (195)	%	
Sex	Female	440 _{a+}	61.6	35 _a	62.5	130 _a	66.7	0.435
	Male	274 _a	38.4	21 _a	37.5	65 _a	33.3	
Marital status	Married	405 _a	56.7	22 _b	39.3	108 _a	55.4	0.017
	Separated	80 _a	11.2	11 _{a,b}	19.6	38 _b	19.5	
	Divorced	40 _a	5.6	6 _a	10.7	11 _a	5.6	
	Single	179 _a	25.1	17 _a	30.4	36 _a	18.5	
	Widowed	4 _a	0.6	0 _a	0.0	2 _a	1.0	
	Others	6 _a	0.8	0 _a	0.0	0 _a	0.0	
Has a partner	No	306 _a	42.9	34 _b	60.7	87 _a	44.6	0.035
	Yes	408 _a	57.1	22 _b	39.3	108 _a	55.4	
Origin	São Paulo	703 _a	98.5	55 _a	98.2	194 _a	99.5	0.521
	Another state	11 _a	1.5	1 _a	1.8	1 _a	0.5	
University units	Administration	33 _a	4.6	1 _a	1.8	7 _a	3.6	0.062
	Agricultural sciences	49 _a	6.9	2 _a	3.6	10 _a	5.1	
	Human health	535 _a	74.9	51 _b	91.1	162 _b	83.1	
	Animal health	44 _a	6.2	1 _a	1.8	11 _a	5.6	
	Biological sciences	53 _a	7.4	1 _{a,b}	1.8	5 _b	2.6	
Type of work	Administration	127 _a	17.8	4 _b	7.1	12 _b	6.2	<0.001
	Rural work	32 _a	4.5	1 _a	1.8	12 _a	6.2	
	Teaching	53 _a	7.4	0 _b	0.0	1 _b	0.5	
	Mid-level healthcare	224 _a	31.4	34 _b	60.7	116 _b	59.5	
	Operational	78 _a	10.9	7 _{a,b}	12.5	34 _b	17.4	
	Others mid-level	10 _a	1.4	3 _b	5.4	3 _{a,b}	1.5	
	Others high-level	21 _a	2.9	0 _a	0.0	1 _a	0.5	
	Radiotherapy	11 _a	1.5	1 _a	1.8	1 _a	0.5	
	Healthcare	56 _a	7.8	1 _{a,b}	1.8	4 _b	2.1	
	Supervisor	14 _a	2.0	0 _a	0.0	1 _a	0.5	
	Academic support	62 _a	8.7	5 _a	8.9	5 _b	2.6	
	Transport	8 _a	1.1	0 _a	0.0	1 _a	0.5	
	Supervision and reception	18 _a	2.5	0 _a	0.0	4 _a	2.1	
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	
Age of hiring by the university		28.42 _a	6.68	27.18 _a	6.55	29.93 _b	6.87	0.005
Time working at university		21.39 _a	8.06	20.29 _a	7.36	20.38 _a	6.01	0.184

*chi-square

**ANOVA

*Subscript letters (a,b,c): different letters show statistically significant differences between groups (by Z or Tukey test).

* C: control; WR: RTW without restrictions; R: RTW with restrictions

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t001>

Table 1, which presents the sociodemographic characteristics, shows that the groups were different in terms of: marital status, living with a partner, positions held, and age at hiring. This is proven by the fact that the R group was older when started working at the university, and the C and WR groups kept a higher proportion of fixed partner, as well as roles and positions of higher educational levels. Women represented similar percentages among the three groups: 61.6% of C (440), 62.5% of WR (35), and 66.7% of R (130), and there were no statistically significant differences between the three types of groups in relation to origin, unit, and time at work.

Table 2 shows the differences between the groups regarding sick leaves, especially in terms of age at the onset of the process, total duration of the sick leave, duration of leave per episode, or number of medical evaluations performed during sick leaves of 16 days or more.

There were no differences between the three groups studied with respect to the duration of the sick leave in the first episode, to the accumulated time until RTW, or other modifications based on the chapters on diseases throughout the process, except for Chapter V (mental disorders).

In the groups where individuals returned to work in different functions (WR and R), the accumulated duration and the duration per sick leave episode were longer, and workers were older at the onset of the process, especially in the group that returned to work with limitations. In addition, WR and R presented higher proportions of long-term sick leaves and higher recurrence rates.

The groups were also statistically different in terms of the main disease chapter and in relation to mental disorders, either by the continuity of cases classified in chapter V of the ICD-10 or by migrating throughout the process towards that chapter, considering the interval between the 2nd and the 20th episode.

Regarding the information presented at the medical examination records where there was a reference to the body parts involved, the type of injury, and the presence of psychological symptoms, the groups also differed, since there was a greater proportion of references to these factors among those who had returned to work in different functions at the end of the process.

Table 3 presents the results of the simple logistic regression models between RTW without restrictions and each of the predictors. Age at hiring, age at the onset of the process, time since employment at the university, being a female, having a partner, number of medical evaluations, sick leave for 16 days or more, duration of sick leave in the first episode, total and average duration of sick leave, some work positions, and initiating the process of sick leave with diseases included in the mental disorders or in the ear and the mastoid process chapters of the ICD reached p-values ≤ 0.25 and, thus, were entered in the multiple model.

Observing the variables included in the multiple regression model (Table 4), the age at the onset of the process proved to be a protective factor and was statistically significant (OR: 0.94). However, the number of medical evaluations performed during the period (OR: 1.06), the sick leaves of 16 days or more (OR: 1.89), the average sick leave duration (OR: 6.89) and working in mid-level healthcare work activities (OR: 5.31) proved to be risk factors, being the last two strongly associated with RTW without restrictions.

Table 5 shows the results of the simple logistic regression models between RTW with restrictions and each of the predictors. As can be seen, age at hiring, age at the onset of the process, time since employment at the university, being a female, having a partner, coming from outside of the state of São Paulo, working in a mid-level health positions, number of medical examination reports, sick leaves of 16 days or more, duration of the sick leave in the first episode, average sick leave duration, and initiating the process of sick leave due to diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue or due to a mental disease reached p-values ≤ 0.25 and, thus, were included in the multiple model.

The variables that predicted RTW with restrictions, according to the multiple regression model (Table 6), were: age at hiring by the university (OR: 1.03), number of medical evaluations performed (OR: 1.10), sick leaves of 16 days or more (OR: 1.56), working in rural activities (OR: 4.71), working in mid-level healthcare positions (OR: 5.62), and in operational positions (OR: 4.07). We highlight the strong association between occupying some work positions and the outcome in question, since it increased the risk of returning to work with restrictions between four and almost six times.

Table 2. Characteristics of sick leaves by illness distributed in three types according to RTW between 2010 and 2015.

		C		WR		R		p-value ¹
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Number of medical evaluations in the period (n = 965)	1	203 _{a+}	28.4	2 _b	3.6	22 _b	11.3	
	2	148 _a	20.7	3 _b	5.4	11 _b	5.6	
	3	93 _a	13.0	4 _{a,b}	7.1	14 _b	7.2	
	4	54 _a	7.6	3 _a	5.4	16 _a	8.2	
	5	51 _a	7.2	2 _{a,b}	3.6	5 _b	2.6	
	6–10	96 _a	13.4	11 _a	19.5	33 _a	16.9	
	11–20	44 _a	6.2	15 _b	26.8	53 _b	27.2	
	21–59	25 _a	3.5	16 _b	28.6	41 _b	21.0	<0.001
ICD-10 chapter (n = 5776)	1	95 _a	3.1	4 _b	0.6	31 _b	1.5	
	2	166 _a	5.4	3 _b	0.5	50 _c	2.5	
	3	12 _a	0.4	0 _{a,b}	0.0	1 _b	0.0	
	4	59 _a	1.8	4 _b	0.6	20 _b	1.0	
	5	920 _a	29.8	351 _b	52.8	751 _c	37.2	
	6	87 _a	2.8	12 _{a,b}	1.8	32 _b	1.6	
	7	146 _a	4.7	13 _b	2.0	56 _b	2.8	
	8	14 _a	0.5	23 _b	3.5	11 _a	0.5	
	9	145 _a	4.7	21 _a	3.2	79 _a	3.9	
	10	79 _a	2.6	7 _b	1.1	96 _c	4.8	
	11	129 _a	4.2	16 _b	2.4	44 _b	2.2	
	12	50 _a	1.6	13 _a	2.0	33 _a	1.6	
	13	399 _a	12.8	117 _b	17.5	515 _c	25.5	
	14	104 _a	3.4	5 _b	0.8	59 _a	2.9	
	15	15 _a	0.5	5 _a	0.8	2 _b	0.1	
	16	1 _a	0.1	0 _a	0.0	0 _a	0.0	
	17	11 _{a,b}	0.4	4 _b	0.6	3 _a	0.2	
	18	54 _a	1.7	10 _a	1.5	25 _a	1.2	
	19	244 _a	7.8	18 _b	2.7	100 _c	4.9	
	20	15 _a	0.5	0 _a	0.0	10 _a	0.5	
	21	347 _a	11.2	37 _b	5.6	103 _b	5.1	<0.001
Injured body part (n = 1018)**	Trunk	94 _a	17.5	38 _b	32.8	96 _b	26.4	
	Feet	69 _a	12.8	13 _a	11.2	48 _a	13.2	
	Legs	49 _a	9.1	11 _a	9.5	39 _a	10.7	
	Eyes	101 _a	18.8	8 _b	6.9	42 _b	11.5	
	Hands	87 _a	16.2	15 _a	12.9	60 _a	16.5	
	Fingers	27 _a	5.0	6 _a	5.2	4 _b	1.1	
	Head	48 _a	8.9	12 _a	10.3	27 _a	7.4	
	Arms	63 _a	11.7	13 _a	11.2	48 _a	13.2	<0.001
Type of injury (n = 1385)**	Sharp-cutting	8 _a	1.1	0 _a	0.0	7 _a	1.3	
	Dislocation	7 _a	1.0	0 _a	0.0	1 _a	0.2	
	Fracture	81 _a	11.6	9 _b	5.9	51 _{a,b}	9.5	
	Sprain	29 _a	4.2	0 _b	0.0	6 _b	1.1	
	Lethargy	1 _a	0.2	0 _a	0.0	1 _a	0.2	
	Pain	521 _a	74.7	143 _b	93.5	448 _c	83.7	
	Contusion	37 _a	5.3	1 _b	0.6	17 _{a,b}	3.2	
	Burn	13 _a	1.9	0 _a	0.0	4 _a	0.8	<0.001

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

		C		WR		R		p-value ¹
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Psychological symptoms (n = 5776)**	No	2311 _a	74.7	368 _b	55.5	1365 _c	67.5	
	Yes	781 _a	25.3	295 _b	44.5	656 _c	32.5	<0.001
ICD chapter modified (n = 965)	No	247 _a	34.6	18 _a	32.1	67 _a	34.4	
	Yes	467 _a	65.4	38 _a	67.9	128 _a	65.6	0.933
Symptoms start with chapter V of the ICD-10 and is kept until 20 th expert record (n = 965)	No	383 _a	53.6	17 _b	30.4	78 _b	40.0	
	Yes	331 _a	46.4	39 _b	69.6	117 _b	60.0	<0.001
Symptoms start with other chapter and change to chapter V of the ICD-10 until 20 th expert record (n = 965)	No	536 _a	75.1	54 _b	96.4	178 _b	91.3	
	Yes	178 _a	24.9	2 _b	3.6	17 _b	8.7	<0.001
Sick leave ≥ 16 days (n = 965)	No	388 _a	54.3	5 _b	8.9	62 _c	31.8	
	Yes	326 _a	45.7	51 _b	91.1	133 _c	68.2	<0.001
		C		WR		R		p-value ²
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age at the start of the process (n = 965)		49.81 _a	7.88	47.47 _a	7.27	50.31 _b	6.88	0.049
Total sick leave time in days (n = 965)		193.18 _a	464.25	818.02 _b	695.34	756.32 _b	1040.63	<0.001
Time until RTW in days (n = 239)		446.17 _a	876.64	599.01 _a	1100.07	564.47 _a	1053.95	0.351
Duration of sick leave (n = 5776)		193.18 _a	463.94	818.02 _b	689.42	756.32 _c	1038.09	<0.001
Mean time (total time of sick leave / Number of medical records) (n = 965)		40.03 _a	115.47	66.08 _a	85.60	65.93 _b	129.29	0.011
Days of sick leave in first episode		41.98 _a	159.10	43.43 _a	135.65	77.39 _a	258.51	0.055

¹ chi-square.

²ANOVA

*Subscript letters (a,b,c): different letters show statistically significant differences between groups (by Z or Tukey test).

C: control; WR: RTW without restrictions; R: RTW with restrictions. ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases 10th Edition

* I—Certain infectious and parasitic diseases; II- Tumours (Neoplasms); III- Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs and certain disorders involving the immune mechanism; IV- Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases; V- Mental and behavioural disorders; VI-Diseases of the nervous system; VII- Diseases of the eye, adnexa. VIII- Diseases of the ear and mastoid process; IX- Diseases of the circulatory system; X- Diseases of the respiratory system; XI- Diseases of the digestive system; XII- Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue; XIII- Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue. XIV- Diseases of the genitourinary system; XV- Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium; XVI—Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period; XVII- Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities; XVIII- Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified; XIX- Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes; XX- External causes of morbidity and mortality; XXI- Factors influencing health status and contact with health services.

** Referred to by the doctor-expert in the record of the consultation and extracted from the text.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t002>

Discussion

This study has allowed to investigate the factors associated with RTW after a period of sick leave among statutory workers of a public university in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. In the literature and in our study, we find reasonable discrepancies in the role of: the type of disease that causes the sick leave, job characteristics, management of the sick leave, personal characteristics of the worker, some extra labour factors, among others [21–25].

In our study, we have found a high percentage (37%) of sick leaves due to diseases within the mental disorders group, like that of other groups of Brazilian public workers [26,27]. However, this percentage is much higher than in other groups and working environments [22] and quite similar to others [28]. One hypothesis to explain this high percentage is the fact that psychiatrists performed some of the medical evaluations, what could induce a greater number of this type of diagnoses compared with other studies in which such specialists did not carry out

Table 3. Adjustment of simple logistic regression models. Odds Ratio, confidence intervals, and p-values, having as a result RTW without restrictions of university workers, between 2010 and 2015.

	OR	CI 95%		P-value
		LL	UL	
Age of hiring by the university	0.912	0.903	0.921	<0.001
Age at the start of the process	0.949	0.944	0.954	<0.001
Time working at university	0.888	0.876	0.901	<0.001
Total sick leave time	0.999	0.998	0.999	<0.001
Female (ref. Male)	0.375	0.314	0.449	<0.001
Having a partner (ref. no partner)	0.054	0.035	0.083	<0.001
Born out of the state of São Paulo (ref. São Paulo)	1.162	0.147	9.167	0.887
Number of medical evaluations	1.119	1.088	1.151	<0.001
Sick leave ≥ 16 days (ref. ≤15 days)	12.140	4.789	30.775	<0.001
Mean time (total leave time / Number of medical records)	1.001	1.000	1.003	0.121
University units				
Administration	1.000	---	---	---
Agricultural sciences	1.347	0.117	15.464	0.811
Human health	3.146	0.421	23.480	0.264
Animal health	0.750	0.045	12.436	0.841
Biological sciences	0.623	0.038	10.297	0.741
Positions				
Administration	1.000	---	---	---
Rural work	0.031	0.004	0.229	0.001
Teaching	0.000	0.000	---	0.997
Mid-level healthcare	0.152	0.106	0.218	<0.001
Operational	0.090	0.041	0.194	<0.001
Others mid-level	0.300	0.083	1.090	0.067
Others high-level	0.000	0.000	---	0.998
Radiotherapy	0.091	0.012	0.704	0.022
Healthcare	0.018	0.002	0.129	<0.001
Supervisors	0.000	0.000	---	0.998
Academic support	0.081	0.032	0.201	<0.001
Transport	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
Supervision and reception	0.000	0.000	---	0.998
ICD-10 chapter in first episode				
I	1.000	---	---	---
II	0.541	0.033	8.932	0.668
III	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
IV	2.750	0.159	47.516	0.487
V	5.383	0.702	41.302	0.105
VI	3.474	0.295	40.900	0.322
VII	1.015	0.089	11.612	0.990
VIII	13.200	1.002	173.881	0.050
IX	2.152	0.214	21.615	0.515
X	1.375	0.082	23.097	0.825
XI	0.600	0.036	9.918	0.721
XII	4.400	0.370	52.375	0.241
XIII	4.605	0.576	36.825	0.150
XIV	0.917	0.055	15.253	0.952

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

	OR	CI 95%		P-value
		LL	UL	
XV	0.000	0.000	---	1.000
XVI	0.000	0.000	---	1.000
XVII	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
XVIII	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
XIX	1.375	0.138	13.720	0.786
XX	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
XXI	1.650	0.098	27.874	0.728
Days of sick leave in first episode	0.950	0.941	0.960	<0.001

I- Certain infectious and parasitic diseases; II- Tumours (Neoplasms); III- Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs and certain disorders involving the immune mechanism; IV- Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases; V- Mental and behavioural disorders; VI- Diseases of the nervous system; VII- Diseases of the eye, adnexa. VIII- Diseases of the ear and mastoid process; IX- Diseases of the circulatory system; X- Diseases of the respiratory system; XI- Diseases of the digestive system; XII- Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue; XIII- Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue. XIV- Diseases of the genitourinary system; XV- Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium; XVI—Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period; XVII- Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities; XVIII- Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified; XIX- Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes; XX- External causes of morbidity and mortality; XXI- Factors influencing health status and contact with health services.

OR: Odds ratio. ICD-10: International classification of diseases 10th Edition.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t003>

the medical evaluations. In relation to RTW, the diseases within the mental disorders group represented 29.8% of the cases in which individuals returned to work in the same roles, 52.8% of the ones that individuals returned to work in different functions, and 37.2% of those who returned to work in different functions with restrictions. To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the prevalence of RTW according to the disease that has caused the sick leave. Thus, we have no data with which to compare our study outcomes.

It is known, however, that the time to RTW after a sick leave due to mental disorders is long [29,30], being not only greater than regarding neoplastic conditions [31] and having one of the lowest rates of RTW. For example, in Denmark, 12.7% of these cases never return to perform their activities [32]. Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and of the connective tissue were the second most frequent group of diseases that caused sick leaves. This is worrisome since these two groups of diseases usually become chronic and associated [33,34], consequently increasing the periods of sick leave [34,35].

The age at the beginning of the process, which in our study appears as a protective factor in the cases of RTW in different functions, is something that coincides with previous studies on the predictors of a successful RTW [8,9], although it is not kept in the multiple regression model of RTW with restrictions. The age at hiring by the university is one of the variables that

Table 4. Adjustment of the multiple logistic regression model. Odds Ratio, respective confidence intervals, and p-values, having as a result RTW without restrictions of university workers between 2010 and 2015.

	OR	CI 95%	
		LL	UL
Age at the start of the process	0.936	0.898	0.976
Number of medical evaluations	1.058	1.010	1.107
Sick leaves ≥ 16 days (ref. ≤15 days)	1.897	1.235	2.915
Mean time (Total sick leave time / Number of expert records)	6.892	2.545	18.666
Mid-level healthcare position	5.311	1.670	16.887

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t004>

Table 5. Adjustment of simple logistic regression model. Odds Ratio, confidence intervals, and p-values, having as a result RTW with restrictions of university workers, between 2010 and 2015.

	OR	CI 95%		P-value
		LL	UL	
Age of hiring by the university	0.959	0.954	0.964	<0.001
Age at the start of the process	0.975	0.972	0.978	<0.001
Time working at university	0.944	0.937	0.951	<0.001
Total sick leave time	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.667
Female (ref. Male)	0.295	0.243	0.359	<0.001
Having a partner (ref. no partner)	0.265	0.214	0.327	<0.001
Out of state of São Paulo (ref. São Paulo)	0.091	0.012	0.704	0.002
Number of expert records	1.120	1.095	1.145	<0.001
Sick leaves ≥ 16 days (ref. ≤15 days)	2.561	1.831	3.582	<0.001
Mean time (Total sick leave time / Number of medical records)	1.001	1.000	1.003	0.013
University units				
Administration	1	---	---	---
Agricultural sciences	0.982	0.339	2.843	0.973
Human health	1.428	0.620	3.288	0.403
Animal health	1.179	0.413	3.367	0.759
Biological sciences	0.445	0.130	1.517	0.196
Position				
Administration	1	---	---	---
Rural work	0.402	0.116	1.387	0.149
Teaching	1.594	0.445	5.706	0.474
Mid-level healthcare	0.080	0.008	0.767	0.029
Operational	2.201	0.724	6.692	0.164
Others mid-level	1.853	0.580	5.917	0.298
Others high-level	1.275	0.236	6.899	0.778
Radiotherapy	0.202	0.021	1.984	0.170
Healthcare	0.386	0.038	3.927	0.422
Supervision	0.304	0.069	1.345	0.116
Academic support	0.304	0.030	3.036	0.310
Transport of people and materials	0.343	0.083	1.418	0.139
Supervision and reception	0.531	0.051	5.553	0.597
ICD-10 chapter in first episode				
I	1	---	---	---
II	0.757	0.223	2.574	0.656
III	0.000	0.000	---	0.999
IV	1.650	0.341	7.983	0.534
V	2.876	1.071	7.720	0.036
VI	1.042	0.224	4.854	0.958
VII	1.422	0.471	4.286	0.532
VIII	1.320	0.127	13.758	0.816
IX	1.722	0.553	5.357	0.348
X	1.925	0.545	6.802	0.309
XI	1.080	0.333	3.498	0.898
XII	1.760	0.413	7.500	0.445
XIII	3.607	1.320	9.859	0.012
XIV	1.100	0.307	3.946	0.884

(Continued)

Table 5. (Continued)

	OR	CI 95%		P-value
		LL	UL	
XV	3.300	0.251	43.470	0.364
XVI	0.000	0.000	—	1.000
XVII	0.000	0.000	—	0.999
XVIII	2.200	0.440	11.006	0.337
XIX	0.917	0.290	2.895	0.882
XX	1.100	0.108	11.155	0.936
XXI	0.330	0.036	3.031	0.327
Days of sick leave in first episode	1.001	1.000	1.002	0.024

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t005>

was identified as a risk factor in the multiple model of RTW with restrictions (OR = 1.03), but not in the multiple model of RTW without restrictions.

Even though the literature shows that being a female is associated with a successful RTW [8], in our study this variable was not kept in any of the final models.

We have seen differences between the three groups studied in relation to variables that, in the literature, have been related to a successful return to work, such as the marital status [12], although they were not kept in the final multiple regression models. At the educational level [12,13], we only saw that being a mid-level healthcare worker is one of the strongest risk factors in the final multiple regression models (more than 5-fold for both). However, it seems that this could be more related to the stressful and debilitating (physically and emotionally) professional activity carried out than to the educational level or even the economic conditions, another variable that was observed in previous studies [36].

The duration of the sick leaves is one of the variables that are kept as risk factors in both multiple regression models (1.9-fold for workers that returned to work without restrictions and 1.5 for those who returned with restrictions), as in other published studies [12].

In the medical evaluations reports of the individuals who returned to work in different functions (with or without restrictions), we see how there are more references to the injured body parts, the type of injury, and the presence of psychological symptoms. This thorough study on sick leaves seems logical given that, now, workers are not able to perform the same activities they developed in their original work place. However, no literature references have been found on this.

The relationship between the type of work or position held and the RTW is also described in the literature [15]. According to our results, working at rural, operational, and mid-level healthcare positions was strongly associated with the need to RTW with restrictions (more

Table 6. Adjustment of the multiple logistic regression model. Odds Ratio, respective confidence intervals and p-values, having as a result RTW with restrictions of university workers, between 2010 and 2015.

	OR	CI 95%	
		LL	UL
Age of hiring by the university	1.033	1.001	1.068
Number of expert records	1.103	1.075	1.131
Sick leaves ≥ 16 days (ref. ≤15 days)	1.561	1.001	2.440
Type of work (Ref. Administration)			
Rural work	4.709	1.678	13.214
Mid-level healthcare	5.624	2.670	11.846
Operational	4.073	1.783	9.302

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210392.t006>

than 4-fold each). These situations could be explained by the greater difficulty that some types of work will present to workers after a continuous sick leave, injuries, or illnesses that reduce their work ability, because their activities could present a lot of specific and differentiated working conditions and technical or physical requirements, which are difficult to adapt without certain limitations with respect to the habitual activity. On the other hand, the position of mid-level healthcare worker is the only one that remains in the model of RTW without restrictions, probably due to the reasons explained before. It is important to remember that mid-level healthcare positions could assume a wide variety of activities and places in health services and in hospitals, helping RTW in different functions, possibly covering the limitations.

In the multiple regression model of RTW without restrictions, as well as in the model of the RTW with restrictions, the "amount of medical evaluations" appears as one of the significant risk factors (although weak), but we have not found this association in the literature as it is the type of sick leave that tends to require a greater number of evaluations until finding a job that is adapted to the capacities of the worker.

Among the limitations of the study, we find the use of data not only already registered (and, therefore, a lack of other information that could be useful), but also registered by different persons and with sources of information that required a manual work to complete the final database analysed. The use of sick leaves as a measure of morbidity has limitations because it is multi-factorial and influenced not only by the health status of the individual, but also by the work environment, social and psychological factors, attitudes and commitment to work, as well as social insurance system. Secondly, the study population was derived from a single university, although from several different units, so whether the results of this research can be generalised to other groups of public servants is still unclear.

Conclusions

The main conclusion of the study is related to its applicability, since its development has allowed building a computerised database from partially manual data sources, which has allowed identifying the factors associated with the RTW after a period of sick leave, with or without restrictions, in a large group of public workers. In this sense, several factors were identified, such as the age at the onset of the process (RTW without restrictions), age at hiring by the university (RTW with restrictions), amount of sick leaves, sick leaves of 16 days or more, having a mid-level healthcare post, to which two other types of positions must be added: "operational" or "rural" posts in cases of RTW with restrictions.

As future lines of research, we highlight to deepen in the causes of mental disorders and to further investigate the components of the three positions that have been identified as potential risk factors for returning to work in different functions, since early interventions may improve the prognosis and increase the probability of RTW in these cases [37]. In the same way, it will be necessary to study the influence of the sick leaves' management [38] and the characteristics of the evaluating physicians during the process of RTW, as well as the level of commitment or the motivational interventions that could not be analysed in the present study. So, returning to work is a very complex situation that involves the relationship of many factors beyond the disease. Advanced knowledge on this issue can show whether these factors are common across all conditions and could form the basis for generic RTW strategies that can be tested and widely used within any condition and setting.

Supporting information

S1 File. Aggregated data.
(PDF)

Acknowledgments

Miriam Malacize Fantazia, Pedro Casagrande, Marcos Ballestero and Unidade de Pesquisa em Saúde Coletiva (UPeSC)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Adriano Dias.

Data curation: Adriano Dias.

Formal analysis: Adriano Dias.

Funding acquisition: Adriano Dias.

Investigation: Adriano Dias, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

Methodology: Adriano Dias, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

Project administration: Adriano Dias, Sandro Augusto Servilha Coquemala.

Software: Sandro Augusto Servilha Coquemala.

Supervision: Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

Validation: Adriano Dias, Juan Gómez-Salgado, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

Visualization: Adriano Dias.

Writing – original draft: Adriano Dias, João Marcos Bernardes, Juan Gómez-Salgado, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

Writing – review & editing: Adriano Dias, João Marcos Bernardes, Juan Gómez-Salgado, Carlos Ruiz-Frutos.

References

1. Brox JI, Storheim K, Grotle M, Tveito TH, Indahl A, Eriksen HR. Systematic review of back schools, brief education, and fear-avoidance training for chronic low back pain. *Spine J.* 2008; 8(6):948–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2007.07.389> PMID: 18024224
2. Tonetto ES, Prieb SAM, Tonetto TS. Os efeitos da reforma administrativa do estado sobre os servidores técnicos-administrativos da UFSM. *Rev Univ Soc.* 2011; 21(48):54–61.
3. Cruz CRB, Shirassu MM, Barbosa PLQ, Santana AMR. Transtornos mentais como causa de absenteísmo entre servidores públicos em São Paulo. *Rev Psiq Clin.* 2011; 38:265–6.
4. Braga LC, Carvalho LR, Binder MCP. Condições de trabalho e transtornos mentais comuns em trabalhadores da rede básica de saúde de Botucatu (SP). *Ciênc Saúde Colet.* 2010; 15(1):1585–96.
5. von Celsing AS, Svardsudd K, Eriksson HG, Björkegren K, Eriksson M, Wallman T. Determinants for return to work among sickness certified patients in general practice. *BMC Public Health.* 2012; 12:1077. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-1077> PMID: 23241229
6. Costa FM, Vieira MA, Sena RR. Absenteísmo relacionado a doenças entre membros da equipe de enfermagem de um hospital escola. *Rev Bras Enferm.* 2009; 69:38–44.
7. Andrade TB, Souza MGC, Simões MPC, Andrade FB. Prevalência de absenteísmo entre trabalhadores do serviço público. *Sci Med.* 2008; 18 (4):166–71.
8. Saastamoinen P, Laaksonen M, Lahelma E, Lallukka T, Pietilainen O, Rahkonen O. Changes in working conditions and subsequent sickness absence. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2014; 40(1):82–8. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3379> PMID: 23970344
9. Zaballa E, Martínez JM, Duran X, Alberti C, Gimeno Ruiz de Porras D, Benavides FG. Incidence of sickness absence by type of employment contract: one year follow-up study in Spanish salaried workers. *Archives of public health.* 2016; 74:40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-016-0152-x> PMID: 27708775
10. Wallman T, Wedel H, Palmer E, Rosengren A, Johansson S, Eriksson H, et al. Sick-leave track record and other potential predictors of a disability pension. A population based study of 8,218 men and

- women followed for 16 years. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 9:104. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-104> PMID: 19368715
11. Infante-Rivard C, Lortie M. Prognostic factors for return to work after a first compensated episode of back pain. *Occup Environ Med*. 1996; 53(7):488–94. PMID: 8704875
 12. Catalina-Romero C, Martínez-Muñoz P, Quevedo-Aguado L, Ruiz-Moraga M, Fernández-Labandera C, Calvo-Bonacho E. Predictores de la duración de la incapacidad temporal por contingencia comunes en los trastornos de ansiedad. *Gac Sanit*. 2013; 27(1):40–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2011.12.008> PMID: 22425457
 13. Ekberg K, Wahlin C, Persson J, Bernfort L, Oberg B. Early and Late Return to Work After Sick Leave: Predictors in a Cohort of Sick-Listed Individuals with Common Mental Disorders. *J Occup Rehabil*. 2015; 25(3):627–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-015-9570-9> PMID: 25634798
 14. Mehnert A, Koch U. Predictors of employment among cancer survivors after medical rehabilitation—a prospective study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2013; 39(1):76–87. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3291> PMID: 22422040
 15. Ferdiana A, Post MW, de Groot S, Bultmann U, van der Klink JJ. Predictors of return to work 5 years after discharge for wheelchair-dependent individuals with spinal cord injury. *J Rehabil Med*. 2014; 46(10):984–90. <https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-1873> PMID: 25167341
 16. Biering K, Nielsen TT, Rasmussen K, Niemann T, Hjollund NH. Return to work after percutaneous coronary intervention: the predictive value of self-reported health compared to clinical measures. *PLoS one*. 2012; 7(11):e49268. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049268> PMID: 23173052
 17. Jensen OK, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Jensen C, Nielsen CV. Prediction model for unsuccessful return to work after hospital-based intervention in low back pain patients. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2013; 14:140. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-14-140> PMID: 23597088
 18. Hamer H, Gandhi R, Wong S, Mahomed NN. Predicting return to work following treatment of chronic pain disorder. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 2013; 63(4):253–9.
 19. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S. Work outcomes of sickness absence related to mental disorders: a systematic literature review. *BMJ Open*. 2014; 4(7).
 20. Hosmer DW Jr, Lemeshow S. *Applied Logistic Regression*: John Wiley & Sons; 2004. 392 p.
 21. de Boer WE, Mousavi SM, Delclos GL, Benavides FG, Lorente M, Kunz R. Expectation of sickness absence duration: a review on statements and methods used in guidelines in Europe and North America. *Eur J Public Health*. 2016; 26(2):306–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckv222> PMID: 26705569
 22. Torá-Rocamora I, Martínez JM, Gimeno D, Alberti C, Jardí J, Manzanera R, et al. Assessment of the magnitude of geographical variations in the duration of non-work-related sickness absence by individual and contextual factors. *Gac Sanit*. 2015; 29(3):164–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2014.12.004> PMID: 25638744
 23. Arends I, van der Klink JJ, van Rhenen W, de Boer MR, Bultmann U. Predictors of recurrent sickness absence among workers having returned to work after sickness absence due to common mental disorders. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2014; 40(2):195–202. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3384> PMID: 24048675
 24. Munch-Hansen T, Wieclaw J, Agerbo E, Westergaard-Nielsen N, Rosenkilde M, Bonde JP. Sickness absence and workplace levels of satisfaction with psychosocial work conditions at public service workplaces. *Am J Ind Med*. 2009; 52(2):153–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20657> PMID: 19016235
 25. Gimeno D, Bultmann U, Benavides FG, Alexanderson K, Abma FI, Ubalde-Lopez M, et al. Cross-national comparisons of sickness absence systems and statistics: towards common indicators. *Eur J Public Health*. 2014; 24(4):663–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cku070> PMID: 24919693
 26. Cunha JB, Blank VLG, Boing AF. Tendência temporal de afastamento do trabalho em servidores públicos (1995–2005). *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2009; 12:226–36.
 27. Leao ALM, Barbosa-Branco A, Turchi MD, Steenstra IA, Cole DC. Sickness absence among municipal workers in a Brazilian municipality: a secondary data analysis. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017; 10(1):773. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-3116-5> PMID: 29282116
 28. Shiels C, Gabbay MB, Ford FM. Patient factors associated with duration of certified sickness absence and transition to long-term incapacity. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2004; 54(499):86–91. PMID: 14965385
 29. Hensing G, Spak F. Psychiatric disorders as a factor in sick-leave due to other diagnoses: a general population-based study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1998; 172:250–6. PMID: 9614475
 30. Cocker F, Nicholson JM, Graves N, Oldenburg B, Palmer AJ, Martin A, et al. Depression in working adults: comparing the costs and health outcomes of working when ill. *PLoS ONE*. 2014; 9(9):e105430. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105430> PMID: 25181469
 31. Delclós J, Plana M, García S, Vila D, López-López JC, Benavides FG, et al. Duración de la incapacidad temporal por contingencia común por grupos diagnósticos. *Arch Prev Riesgos Labor* 2010; 3(4):180–7.

32. Nielsen MB, Bultmann U, Amby M, Christensen U, Diderichsen F, Rugulies R. Return to work among employees with common mental disorders: study design and baseline findings from a mixed-method follow-up study. *Scand J Public Health*. 2010; 38(8):864–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494810384424> PMID: 20855357
33. Stubbs B, Koyanagi A, Thompson T, Veronese N, Carvalho AF, Solomi M, et al. The epidemiology of back pain and its relationship with depression, psychosis, anxiety, sleep disturbances, and stress sensitivity: Data from 43 low- and middle-income countries. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2016; 43:63–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2016.09.008> PMID: 27796261
34. Demou E, Smith S, Bhaskar A, Mackay DF, Brown J, Hunt K, et al. Evaluating sickness absence duration by musculoskeletal and mental health issues: a retrospective cohort study of Scottish healthcare workers. *BMJ Open*. 2018; 8(1):e018085. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018085> PMID: 29374662
35. Wynne-Jones G, Cowen J, Jordan JL, Uthman O, Main CJ, Glozier N, et al. Absence from work and return to work in people with back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occup Environ Med*. 2014; 71(6):448–56. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2013-101571> PMID: 24186944
36. Wynn P, Low A. The effect of social deprivation on local authority sickness absence rates. *Occup Med*. 2008; 58(4):263–7.
37. Roelen CA, Norder G, Koopmans PC, van Rhenen W, van der Klink JJ, Bultmann U. Employees sick-listed with mental disorders: who returns to work and when? *J Occup Rehabil*. 2012; 22(3):409–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-012-9363-3> PMID: 22447276
38. Suoyrjo H, Oksanen T, Hinkka K, Kivimaki M, Klaukka T, Pentti J, et al. The effectiveness of vocationally oriented multidisciplinary intervention on sickness absence and early retirement among employees at risk: an observational study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009; 66(4):235–42. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.038067> PMID: 19211774