

# RULE EXTRACTION FROM MEDICAL DATA WITHOUT DISCRETIZATION OF NUMERICAL ATTRIBUTES

Juan L. Domínguez-Olmedo, Jacinto Mata, Victoria Pachón and Manuel J. Maña  
*Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería, Universidad de Huelva, Ctra. Palos de la Frontera SN, Huelva, Spain*

Keywords: Data mining, Association rules, Atherosclerosis data.

Abstract: Association rule mining is a popular technique used to find associations between attributes in a dataset. When using deterministic algorithms, if the attributes have numerical values the usual approach is to discretize them defining proper intervals. But the discretization can notably affect the quality of the rules generated. This work presents a method based on a deterministic exploration of the interval search space without a previous discretization of the numerical attributes. It has been applied to medical data from an atherosclerosis study. The quality of the obtained rules seems to support this method as a valid alternative for this kind of rule extraction.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In computer science, the field of *Knowledge Discovery in Databases* (KDD) treats the problem of finding useful knowledge from data. It is based on several stages that try to derive information of interest from raw data: *selection*, *preprocessing*, *transformation*, *data mining* and *evaluation* (Fayyad, 1996).

One kind of task of application in the data mining step is *association rule learning*. This technique is used to discover associations between several variables (attributes) in a dataset, which could be of interest because they might show unexpected or unknown relationships between the variables they associate.

Association rules are not predictive but descriptive. Descriptive mining tasks characterize the general properties of the data (Han, 2006).

In this work, a deterministic method to generate association rules without the discretization of numerical variables is applied to medical data from an atherosclerosis study.

Concretely, the STULONG dataset has been used to extract the association rules from it. This dataset holds data from a longitudinal study of the factors of the atherosclerosis in the population of 1417 middle aged men (Boudík, 2004).

Atherosclerosis (also known as arteriosclerotic vascular disease) is a condition in which an artery wall thickens as a result of the accumulation of fatty

materials such as cholesterol. Among the first symptom of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease is heart attack or sudden cardiac death.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The next section provides a preliminary on association rules and some quality measures. Section 3 describes the method employed. In section 4 the experimental results are shown. Finally, section 5 provides some conclusions.

## 2 ASSOCIATION RULES

Association rule learning is a popular technique used to find associations between several attributes in a dataset. An association rule takes the form  $A \Rightarrow C$ , where  $A$  and  $C$  express conditions on attributes of the dataset, respectively, the *antecedent* and the *consequent* of the rule.

At the beginning of the use of association rules for data mining tasks, its application was mainly to transactional format data, as for *market basket* datasets (Agrawal, 1993), where the aim was to discover regularities between products in large scale transaction data from supermarkets, as the basis for decisions about marketing activities.

In addition to this early application, association rules are employed today in many application areas including scientific data analysis, Web usage mining or bioinformatics.

Rules potentially of interest (*strong rules*) are those ones with “good” measures of their *support* and *confidence*. The support evaluates the number of instances (also it can be shown as a percentage) in which both the antecedent and the consequent of the rule hold. The confidence is the quotient between the support of the rule and the number of instances in which the antecedent holds (the accuracy of the rule):

$$\text{conf}(A \Rightarrow C) = \text{supp}(A \wedge C) / \text{supp}(A) \quad (1)$$

So, the extraction of association rules is based on the search for those ones satisfying *minsup* and *minconf*, thresholds for the minimum support and minimum confidence of a rule to be considered interesting. Another measure of the interestingness of a rule is the *lift* (Brin, 1997), which measures how many times more often the antecedent and consequent hold together in the dataset than would be expected if they were statistically independent. It can be computed by the quotient between the confidence of the rule and the probability of the consequent:

$$\text{lift}(A \Rightarrow C) = \text{conf}(A \Rightarrow C) / P(C) \quad (2)$$

Several methods have been developed to treat this problem, mainly variations of the *Apriori* algorithm (Bodon, 2005); (Borgelt, 2003).

Nevertheless, applying these methods to data containing numerical (quantitative) attributes, the common case in many datasets, can not be done directly (Srikant, 1996).

The typical approach to the treatment of numerical attributes is by a previous discretization of them. But when discretization is applied to numerical attributes in association rule mining, it is not possible to employ the usual methods of application in classification models, such as those based on the information theory (Tsai, 2008); (Lee, 2007). Instead, unsupervised discretization methods such as *equi-width* or *equi-frequency* have to be used (Liu, 2002).

### 3 METHOD EMPLOYED

In this work, we have used a method based on a deterministic approach to treat the problem of generating association rules without a previous discretization of the numerical attributes.

In contrast to the typical deterministic fashion of obtaining quantitative association rules, that is, by previously discretizing those attributes, the method employed is based on a dynamic generation of intervals for each numerical attribute, searching for

valid rules satisfying the thresholds *minsup* and *minconf*.

The method also employs auxiliary data structures and certain optimizations to reduce the search and improve the quality of the rules extracted. Following are described its main features:

- The bounds of the intervals of the numerical attributes are restricted to existing values in the dataset.
- To have an efficient way of generating the interval bounds and calculating the rule quality measures, several auxiliary tables are used. The bounds are going to be searched in the range  $[1, n]$ , where  $n$  is the number of instances of the dataset. And at the end, the bounds are transformed into the original values for each attribute.
- To reduce the number of rules generated, although probably discarding some rules of good quality, a parameter  $\delta \in [0, 1]$  is also used to control the exhaustivity of the rule searching process.

### 4 APPLICATION TO MEDICAL DATA

The method described has been applied to medical data from an atherosclerosis study.

STULONG is a dataset concerning the twenty years lasting longitudinal study of the factors of the atherosclerosis in the population of 1417 middle aged men. Its table *Entry* holds results of the entry examinations of each patient, and the table *Death* holds data concerning the death of 389 patients. In the experiments the table *Entry\_Death* has been used. This table is the join of *Entry* and *Death* tables, with some previous preprocessing (Salleb, 2004).

First, we have searched for rules associating attributes of the group “Physical examination” (*BMI* “Body Mass Index”, *SYST* “Blood pressure systolic”, *DIAST* “Blood pressure diastolic”, *TRIC* “Skin fold triceps” and *SUBSC* “Skin fold subscapularis”) with attributes of the group “Biochemical examination” (*CHLST* “Cholesterol”, *TRIGL* “Triglycerides”, *MOC\_SUC* “Urine sugar” and *MOC\_ALB* “Urine albumen”).

We have run the algorithm searching for those kinds of rules, with the parameters  $\text{minsup} = 29$  (2%),  $\text{minconf} = 0.5$  and  $\delta = 0.2$ . A selection of the rules generated is shown in Table 1, based on rules with high values of lift or with both high confidence and not-low lift.

Table 1: A selection of rules associating the groups of attributes “Physical examination” and “Biochemical examination”.

Rule	Sup	Conf	Lift
CHLST [112, 242] TRIGL [28, 71] ⇒ BMI [19.05, 28.41] TRIC [3, 35] SUBSC [7, 16]	31	0.79	2.3
DIAST [82, 125] BMI [24.11, 27.36] TRIC [11, 35] SUBSC [16, 70] ⇒ MOC_SUC = ‘no’ MOC_ALB = ‘no’ CHLST [221, 250] TRIGL [105, 274]	29	0.51	2.6
TRIC [7, 12] SUBSC [32, 49] ⇒ MOC_SUC = ‘no’ CHLST [211, 300] TRIGL [103, 350]	29	1.00	2.0

The first of the shown rules states that “79% of patients having a cholesterol measure in the interval [112, 242] and a triglycerides measure in the interval [28, 71], also had a value of BMI in the interval [19.05, 28.41], a value in the interval [3, 35] for skin fold triceps and a value in the interval [7, 16] for skin fold subscapularis. The conditions of the consequent occur 2.3 more times in the group of patients holding the conditions of the antecedent than in the whole group of studied patients”.

The last shown rule states that “all patients with a value in the interval [7, 12] for skin fold triceps and a value in the interval [32, 49] for skin fold subscapularis, had also no urine sugar, a cholesterol measure in the interval [211, 300] and a triglycerides measure in the interval [103, 350]. The conditions of the consequent occur 2 more times in the group of patients holding the conditions of the antecedent than in the whole group of studied patients”.

We have also searched for rules associating the attribute DEATH? with the attributes ALCO\_CONS (“Alcohol consumption”), TOBA\_CONS (“Tobacco consumption”), TOBA\_DURA (“Smoking duration”), MOC\_SUC (“Urine sugar”), MOC\_ALB (“Urine albumen”), CHLST (“Cholesterol”), TRIGL (“Triglycerides”), SYST (“Blood pressure systolic”), DIAST (“Blood pressure diastolic”) and BMI (“Body Mass Index”).

The attribute ALCO\_CONS measures the volume of alcohol ingested, taking into account three factors: the equivalent amount of alcohol, the type of alcohol, and the patient’s weight (as a normalizing factor).

Table 2 presents a selection of the rules obtained after searching with the parameters minsup = 29 (2%), minconf = 0.5 and delta = 0.2.

Table 2: A selection of rules associating the attribute DEATH?

Antecedent	Sup	Conf	Lift
ALCO_CONS [1, 1.2] TOBA_DURA = 20 CHLST [197, 273] SYST [149, 220] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	30	0.73	2.7
TOBA_CONSO = 0 CHLST [190, 261] SYST [ 80, 133] BMI [22.64, 27.41] ⇒ DEATH? = no	52	1.00	1.4

Table 3: Analysis of a rule regarding patient’s death.

Antecedent	Sup	Conf	Lift
ALCO_CONS [1.12, 1.69] TOBA_CONSO = 1.25 SYST [140, 220] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	30	0.65	2.4
ALCO_CONS [1.12, 1.69] TOBA_CONSO = 1.25 ⇒ DEATH? = yes	81	0.43	1.6
ALCO_CONS [1.12, 1.69] SYST [140, 220] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	71	0.41	1.5
TOBA_CONSO = 1.25 SYST [140, 220] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	47	0.56	2.0
ALCO_CONS [1.12, 1.69] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	214	0.27	1.0
TOBA_CONSO = 1.25 ⇒ DEATH? = yes	132	0.38	1.4
SYST [140, 220] ⇒ DEATH? = yes	127	0.39	1.4

Finally, a kind of analysis about the “additive” effect of conditions in patient’s death has been done. For that, the first of the rules shown in Table 3 has been chosen from the rules generated previously. It associates alcohol consumption, tobacco consumption and systolic blood pressure with patient’s death. This rule expresses that “65% of the patients with an alcohol consumption in [1.12, 1.69], smoking more than 20 cigarettes/day and with a systolic blood pressure in [140, 220], were dead”.

To compare the effect of those conditions, alone and in pairs, rules having the desired conditions have been selected, and their quality measures are shown in Table 3.

An analysis of the rules indicates that although the condition associated to alcohol consumption is less correlated to death (with a lift value of 1) than the other two conditions evaluated, when added to the combination of tobacco consumption and blood pressure, it increases the confidence from 0.56 to 0.65.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, medical data from an atherosclerosis study has been used to extract association rules from it.

Association rules can express unknown knowledge present in data, in the form of relationships between the values of the variables.

The method employed is based on a deterministic approach that generates association rules without a previous discretization of the numerical attributes. Discretization can notably affect the quality of the rules generated, and it is usually difficult to know the best discretization technique to apply it to a deterministic algorithm for a particular dataset.

A variety of rules has been obtained, with good values of their quality measures, what seems to support the method employed as a valid way to generate association rules without a previous discretization of the numerical attributes.

Also, a particular analysis of a selected rule has been performed. The rule associates some conditions with the death of patients object of the study.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, the Spanish

Government Plan E and the European Union through ERDF (TIN2009-14057-C03-03).

## REFERENCES

- Agrawal, R., Imielinski, T., Swami, A., 1993. Mining Association Rules between Sets of Items in Large Databases. In *ACM SIGMOD ICMD*, pp. 207-216. ACM Press.
- Bodon, F., 2005. A Trie-based APRIORI Implementation for Mining Frequent Item Sequences. In *1st International Workshop on Open Source Data Mining: Frequent Pattern Mining Implementations*, Chicago, Illinois, pp. 56-65. ACM Press.
- Borgelt, C., 2003. Efficient Implementations of Apriori and Eclat. In *Workshop on Frequent Itemset Mining Implementations*. CEUR Workshop Proc. 90, Florida.
- Boudík, F., Tomečková, M., Bultas, J., 2004. STULONG medical project. <http://euromise.vse.cz/challenge2004>. Prague.
- Brin, S., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.D., Tsur, S., 1997. Dynamic Itemset Counting and Implication Rules for Market Basket Data. In *Proc. of the ACM SIGMOD 1997*, pp. 265-276.
- Fayyad, U., Piatetsky-Shapiro, G., Smyth, P., 1996. From Data Mining to Knowledge Discovery in Databases. *AI Magazine, Vol. 17*, pp. 37-54.
- Han, J., Kamber, M., 2006. *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco.
- Lee, C.-H., 2007. A Hellinger-based Discretization Method for Numeric Attributes in Classification Learning. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 20(4), 419-425.
- Liu, H., Hussain, F., Tan, C., Dash, M., 2002. Discretization: An Enabling Technique. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 6(4), 393-423.
- Salleb, A., Turmeaux, T., Vrain, C., Nortet, C., 2004. Mining Quantitative Association Rules in a Atherosclerosis Dataset. *Contribution to the PKDD Discovery Challenge 2004*, <http://www.univ-orleans.fr/lifo/Members/salleb/Challenge2004>.
- Srikant, R., Agrawal, R., 1996. Mining Quantitative Association Rules in Large Relational Tables. In *Proc. of the ACM SIGMOD 1996*, pp. 1-12.
- Tsai, C.-J., Lee, C.-I., Yang, W.-P., 2008. A Discretization Algorithm Based on Class-Attribute Contingency Coefficient. *Information Science*, 178(3), 714-731.