Tectonic and geodynamic significance of paleomagnetic rotations in the Iberian Chain, Spain

Significado tectónico y geodinámico de las rotaciones paleomagnéticas en la Cadena Ibérica, España

R. Vegas (*), M.T. Juarez (**) and O. Källin (***)

(*)Dpto. de Geocinética, Fac. C. Geológicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid.
(**)Institut für Geophysik, ETH-Hönggerberg, 8093 Zürich.
(***)Dpto. de Paleontología, Fac. C. Geológicas, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid.

RESUMEN

Las evidencias obtenidas en calizas del Jurásico Superior de la Cadena Ibérica sobre (a) una componente magnética secundaria (cretácica) diferente de la magnetización primaria y (b) rotaciones locales de eje vertical de ambas componentes, delimitan en parte la historia evolutiva del movimiento de la Placa Ibérica e implican una reconsideración del concepto de "Iberia estable". La coexistencia de sitios rotados y no rotados apoya además un modelo tectónico de convergencia oblicua con relaciones diferenciales entre cobertura y basamento.

ABSTRACT

Evidence from Late Jurassic limestones of the central Iberian Chain for (a) a secondary (Cretaceous) magnetic component different in declination by some 15° (clockwise) from the direction of the primary magnetization, and for (b) local vertical-axis rotations of both these components, provides additional constraints on the history of motion of the Iberian Plate and implies a reconsideration of the concept of «stable Iberia». Moreover, the coexistence of rotated and non-rotated sites across the Iberian Chain supports a tectonic model of intraplate oblique convergence and differential cover-to-basement relationships.
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Introduction

A recent palaeomagnetic study on Jurassic (Oxfordian) limestones from the central Iberian Chain has shown local in situ vertical-axis rotations (Juarez, 1994; Juarez et al., 1995 and in prep.). In particular, such rotations have been detected in samples from sites Aguatón and Pozuel del Campo (N of Teruel; fig. 1), where they affect both the primary (P) and a distinctive secondary (S) component. At the sites of Tosos, Moneva, Anquela del Pedregal, Alustante, Griegos and Frías de Albaracín (N and S of the axial zone of the range (Fig. 1), on the other hand, measured palaeodeclinations of the P component, around 320°-330°, are broadly consistent with the presumed Late Jurassic direction for the Iberian Peninsula. The S component, always with normal polarity and with declinations in the range of 335°-345°, thus seems to represent an (?Early) Cretaceous remagnetization. The angular difference between both components, scattering around 15°, is similar in either group of sites.

The existence of differential rotations between sites across the Iberian Chain calls for a redefinition of «stable Iberia», i.e. that part of the Iberian Peninsula not affected by perceptible internal deformation and therefore suitable for paleopole determinations. Furthermore, a plausible interpretation of the origin of the S component, of its angular difference with the P component and of the particular tectonic setting of rotated and non-rotated localities, may assist in the understanding of the intraplate evolution and the kinematic history of the Iberian Peninsula. All these points are briefly addressed in this note.

Tectonic setting of rotated and non-rotated sites in the Iberian Chain

The in situ palaeomagnetic rotations determined at sites Aguatón and Pozuel del Campo must be causally related to the Eocene to Early Miocene regional compressive/transpressive tectonism, as both the P and S components pass the fold test (Juarez 1994). Intraplate compression and oblique tectonic inversion originated in stress transfer from the collisional Pyrenean border. In such a tectonic context, the coexistence of rotated and non-rotated domains in the central Iberian Chain points to differential cover-to-basement relationships. Accordingly, the unrotated Tosos and Moneva sites (located NE of the axial zone of the chain) would belong to a cover panel detached and dragged as a whole along the Armillas-Fonfria Fault (Fig. 1), a dextral basement fault studied
Jurassic. A reliable dating of the remagnetization episode would thus provide important clues to a refined timing of the rotation of the Iberian Peninsula and, hence, help in settling the ongoing controversy concerning the early (essentially pre-Albian) kinematic evolution of the Bay of Biscay. Currently available paleomagnetic data from the study area do, however, not allow to fix this age adequately, so that an assessment has to be based on indirect evidence:

a) From a regional geological point of view, a Cretaceous thermal event, documented by low-grade metamorphism and an associated phase of ductile deformation (sinistral transpression; Gil Imaz and Pocovi Juan, 1994) in the NW part of the Iberian Chain (Caramos Massif, Sierra del Moncayo), obviously appears as the prime candidate for the acquisition of the S component. Radiometric dates of around 100 Ma and in the range between 108 and 86 Ma, obtained by Goldberg et al. (1989) and Casquet et al. (1992) respectively, assign this metamorphism to the Albanian p.p. - Santonian interval (roughly coinciding with the younger half of the Cretaceous Normal Polarity Superchron, CQ2).

b) Alternatively, the time of partial remagnetization can be inferred by comparing the inherent magnetization direction with presumed original directions for the Cretaceous Period defined in other areas of stable Iberia. Following this approach, a fair coincidence shows up with the Aptian and Barremian-Aptian paleomagnetic directions reported by Galdeano et al. (1989) and Moreau et al. (1992) respectively, thus suggesting an age in the bracket of 130-120 Ma (C chron M2N, M1N or oldest part of CQ2).

It is interesting at this point to check these two estimates against the results of recent kinematic analyses concerned with Iberia and the origin of the Bay of Biscay. Figure 2 is intended to summarize these data, along with some additional information relevant to the tectonic evolution of the Iberian plate boundary and the NE plate interior. Our reconstruction of the Iberia-Europe relative motion (Fig. 2A) combines the work of Malod and Mauffret (1990), focussed on the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous (pre-M0) kinematics, with the detailed plate motion model derived by Srivastava et al. (1990; extended in Roest and Srivastava, 1991), from chron M0 to Present. We prefer such a combination to the full model developed by the latter
Fig. 2.- (A) Motion of the Iberian Plate with respect to Europe from Late Jurassic to Present, based on Malod and Mauffret (1990) for pre-Anomaly M0 (Early Aptian) kinematic evolution, and on Srivastava et al. (1990) and Roest and Srivastava (1991) later on. Flow lines depict motion paths for two localities on present-day North Spanish coast. EB: Ebro block. (B) Correlation of some major geological events. Chronostratigraphy and selected magnetostratiographic data after Harland et al. (1989). CQZ: Cretaceous Magnetic Quiet Zone (Cretaceous Normal Polarity Superchron). (1) Whittmarsh et al. (1990), Pinheiro et al. (1992); (2) main phase of rotation (about close pole) according to Galdeano et al. (1989) and Moreau et al. (1992); (3) Moreau et al. (1992); (4) Montigny et al. (1986), Ancochea et al. (1992); (5) Mas et al. (1993); (6) Casquet et al. (1992), Goldberg et al. (1988); (7) Gil Imaz and Pocoví Juan (1994); (8) Casas Sainz (1993); (9) Guimerà (1984), Guimerà and Alvaro (1990).

Fig. 2.- (A) Movimiento de la Placa Ibérica respecto a Europa desde el Jurásico Superior; basado en Malod y Mauffret (1990) para la evolución cinemática pre-Anomalía M0 (Aptiense Inferior) y en Srivastava et al. (1990) y Roest y Srivastava (1991) para el periodo posterior. Las líneas de flujo describen el movimiento de dos localidades en la costa actual del norte de España. EB: Bloque del Ebro. (B) Correlación de algunos eventos geológicos principales. Cronoestratigrafía y datos magnetostratigráficos puntuales según Harland et al. (1989). CQZ: Zona de Calma Magnética cretácica (Superconvergencia de polaridad normal). (1) Whittmarsh et al. (1990), Pinheiro et al. (1992); (2) fase principal de rotación (alrededor de un polo próximo) según Galdeano et al. (1989) y Moreau et al. (1992); (3) Moreau et al. (1992); (4) Montigny et al. (1986), Ancochea et al. (1992); (5) Mas et al. (1993); (6) Casquet et al. (1992), Goldberg et al. (1988); (7) Gil Imaz y Pocoví Juan (1994); (8) Casas Sainz (1993); (9) Guimerà (1984), Guimerà y Alvaro (1990).
authors, because of a better agreement with continental geological and geophysical data.

From figure 2 it readily appears that, relying on current North Atlantic plate reconstructions, a major part of the differential rotation between Iberia and Europe had to occur in connection with oceanic accretion in the Bay of Biscay, whereas at best a 30% of the total amount was related with the Valanginian-Aptian stepwise northwards propagation of the Atlantic Ridge along the Western Iberian margin, and continental rifting in the Biscay area. This is in obvious contrast with the interpretation derived by Galdeano et al. (1989) and Moreau et al. (1992) from paleomagnetic work, according to which the main phase of rotation (27°±12°) would have taken place within the Hauterivian-Aptian interval, prior to spreading in the Bay of Biscay. Our estimate (b), implying a rotation in the order of 15° before 130-120 Ma (Barremian–early Aptian), clearly better accords with kinematic data, but still exceeds significantly model predictions. The contrary occurs with estimate (a), which predicts a rotation by the same amount between the Late Jurassic and some date within the Albian p.p.-Santonian interval (108-86 Ma; during Biscay spreading stage), unless the older limit of the interval and/or important variations in spreading rate were assumed.

No decisive arguments seem to exist so far in favour of one or the other interpretation of our results. However, regional geological data at hand collectively lead us (other than Moreau et al., 1992; cf. Fig. 2B) to give preference to a causal relationship between remagnetization and the low-grade metamorphism occurring in the NW Iberian Chain (estimate (a)), disregarding the apparent incon sistencies concerning the angular difference between the P and S magnetic components.

We further suggest a correlation of this metamorphism and related ductile shearing with the change in the Iberia-Europe relative motion to northeasterly directed convergence, shortly before anomaly 34 time (cf. Fig. 2A), and hence an early stage of shortening and oblique tectonic inversion in the previously rifted, thus weakened, crustal domain between ‘Iberia’ and the Ebro Block. The main phase of deformation (dextral transpression) in the Iberian Chain seems, however, to be a consequence of the oblique convergence during the time interval between anomalies 17/18 and 6 (late Middle Eocene-Early Miocene). This intraplate oblique-convergent deformation was partitioned into strike-slip faulting and thrusting, the former involving localized block rotations and, therewith, in situ paleomagnetic rotations in the Mesozoic cover.
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